

The University of Western Ontario Faculty of Information and Media Studies

MIT 3225G – Propaganda in Print and Visual Culture -- Winter 2020

Instructor Information

Course Information

Lectures: Wednesdays 9:30pm - 12:30 TC-204

Dr. Kane X. Faucher Office: FNB 4050

Office Hours: Mondays 11am - 2pm.

E: kfauche@uwo.ca

E. Irfonoba Churra an

Prerequisites

None.

Course Syllabus

For millennia, propaganda has been in the service of empires, the religious elite, artists, poets, politicians, and has expanded to include corporate interests and the digital "public sphere." The content of the messages may change, as well as the medium by which it is delivered, but the tactics have remained largely the same since the ancient Greeks with a view of using persuasion to effect change if not also the use of manipulation for the purposes of shifting public opinion and for social engineering. This course aims to ground students in the historical development in the methods of how propaganda and persuasion have been utilized in print and visual culture, spanning Greco-Roman art and rhetoric to contemporary use of diverse media, and how new techniq ues were developed alongside new forms of media technology. As an interdisciplinary course, students will be exposed to how propaganda has been in the service of the written word, architecture, visual art, and other media. This course will also ground students in an appreciation of the aesthetics of propaganda with attendant lectures on artistic movements. There will be occasional film screenings as well as plenty of visual examples for propaganda analysis. There will be comic books. There will be Nazis. There will be fake news. There will be Donald Trump.

By the end of this course, students should be capable of not only identifying the presence of propaganda, but also in explaining what methods have been employed. This course will presents the stark choice of using this knowledge to be critically informed or to be practitioners of propagandistic techniques. *Note: this course is very history-oriented*.

Learning Outcomes

Students taking this course may expect the following learning outcomes:

- * The ability to discern between propaganda and rhetoric
- * The ability to identify when a piece of media is propaganda, and how it is propagandistic

* Comprehension of propagandistic techniques throughout history and across several media forms

Required Readings

This course is lecture-based. That being said, optional and supplementary readings, video links, etc., shall be provided for your interest.

Recommended Readings

There are several texts on propaganda as well as resources on the web such as various university centres devoted to the study of propaganda (one example would be the University of Kent). Students are encouraged to visit these sites to obtain further resources that will assist them in completing their research paper. In addition to books, there are virtually thousands of articles available online and equally thousands of movies and documentaries that either deal with the subject of propaganda, or qualify as propaganda themselves.

In addition, students may prosper in tying concepts to contemporary matters by making every effort to consume a larger than usual volume of news media from several sources. Although optional, students may wish to compare same or similar news stories as they appear on various corporate or publicly-funded media including but not limited to CBC, BBC, FOX, MSNBC, CNN, Al Jazeera, Breitbart, Infowars, et al. As students of propaganda, you should be consuming news on a daily basis directly from the news media sources themselves. Why else would you register for a course on propaganda if you won't be consuming, and assessing, propaganda?

Recent news articles will be added to our forum. Although you are not required to read them, it is strongly recommended that you do, and possibly engage in discussion.

The course will proceed chronologically, and occasionally thematically (these do not always neatly coincide). The tentative itinerary is as follows:

L	Topics	Theme
1	Introduction; overview, key terms / definitions, methodologies, propaganda types, influence and persuasion.	Introduction to the powers of persuasion
	Power in the Ancient and Medieval World	
2	Rome, visual propaganda (architectural splendour, portraiture, heraldry, public executions, military parades, etc), early Christianity (Bible compilation, Paul, cathedrals)	Visual and Intimidation Propaganda
3	Norman propaganda, spread of Christianity, Middle ages, Crusades	Atrocity Propaganda I
	Reason and Literacy	
4	Tudor propaganda, Renaissance and Humanism, John Milton / censorship, Cromwell, printing press, Rousseau, French Revolution.	Propaganda in Print
5	Napoleonic Era, rise of newspapers (press and control), 19th century imperialism, Bismarck, balance of power, US Civil War propaganda.	Mileage from Myths
6	British propaganda, Black/White propaganda, Atrocity propaganda, Zimmerman	Black/White Propaganda, Atrocity Propaganda II
	Modern World	
7	Soviet Revolution, cinema, interwar years, Edward Bernays (SCREENING: Adam Curtis Century of the Self pt 1)	Agit-prop, the Id, crowd control

L	Topics	Theme
8	WWII, Goebbels, comic books / Disney (SCREENING: selection from <i>Triumph of the Will</i>)	Total Propaganda, Mileage from myths (II)
9	Post-war years, Mao, Cold War, suburbia uber alles, Vietnam War (SCREENING: kitschy 1950s educational films)	Armed Propaganda, Screen Propaganda
	Power in the Digital World	
1 0	Reagan, punk, Gulf Wars I & II, CNN-effect	1950s redux, Neoconservatism, 24-hour news cycle
1	Culture Jamming, Greenwashing, propaganda on the web, CIMS and astro-turfing; propaganda in Canada, eh? Pipeline propaganda.	Counter-Propaganda, Ironic ironies, message dissemination tactics in the Canadian context
1 2	Digital propaganda, are we any smarter? What's next? Trolls, bots, and astroturfing	Digi-prop, apathy to propaganda? Dataleaking and Snowden: Patriotism or Treason?; Five-eyes, paid trolls, Twitter.

Class discussion is very much encouraged, and although there is a great deal of lecture material to cover in the context of this cours, we will try to generate good discussion in class.

Evaluation

25% - Small Essay (500-1000 words) Due: **January 20**

25% - Precis/Proposal of Final Paper (500 words excluding bibliography) Due: February 24

40% - Final Research Paper Due: March 23

10% - Participation self-evaluation. Due: March 23

* *All assignments are due on Owl by 11:55pm on the date listed.

*Note: Assignment details and digital syllabus available on Sakai

Students will receive graded work worth no less than 15% at least 3 days prior to the deadline for withdrawal without academic penalty. This year, the date by which students are to have received at least 15% of their grade in a first semester course is March 4, 2020. Students can find details about this academic policy here: http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/exam/evaluation_undergrad.pdf. Please note, students are responsible for ensuring that they are aware of the grades they have received in their courses.

In-Class Policies

PED Policy

Apart from the ease and facility of taking notes during class, students are required to use their digital devices (most notably laptops and tablets) for course purposes ONLY. Texting, Facebook, Twitter, Snapchat, and any other use of these devices that is not course-related is highly distracting and disrespectful to me and your classmates. If students feel absolutely compelled to use their devices for other purposes, they may be asked to leave and also may forfeit their participation mark for the course. The lecture is 3 hours in duration, but there is a break halfway through which can serve as an opportune time to check email, Facebook, stock market fluctuations, etc.

Communication/Consultation

I make every effort to be as flexible as possible in accommodating student requests for consultation. My office hours are posted at the top of this syllabus. During peak times (generally prior to when an assignment comes due), it may be more difficult to see me during my office hours. You may wish to "beat the rush", which means beginning your assignments earlier and coming to me with issues as they arise. If your issue can be handled via email, then this might be preferable to in-person consultation. *Office hours are drop-in on a first come first serve basis. There is no advance booking. Please do not request to meet - just drop by.*

My policy concerning email is that I endeavour to respond within 24 hours, and at reasonable times. That means you should not expect a reply to your email delivered at 2 am or on weekends until the usual working week and daylight hours. That means 9 to 5, Monday to Friday. If you do not receive a response within 24 hours, please resend. NB: If I need to get in contact with you about an urgent matter, please do make a habit of keeping sufficient space on your account so the email does not bounce.

- * Emails <u>should not</u> contain questions easily answered by either the syllabus or assignment documents.
- * If you are absent from class, no need to inform me, and please do not ask "what we missed."
- * I do not post or provide proprietary teaching materials such as lecture notes or slides.
- * I will read working thesis statements or discuss research questions, but please do not ask me to read entire drafts of assignments out of fairness for my time, and those students who cannot benefit from such feedback. Taking some risk and initiative is a part of life.

Late Assignment Policy

<u>Late assignments will receive a zero</u>. If extenuating circumstances prevent the timely submission of an assignment or paper, documentation is required (see Academic Accommodation below). All assignments must be submitted by the due date. IMPORTANT: Please do not send or show me medical notes - I value your privacy and do not have the specific authorization to vet medical notes.

Electronic Submission

All assignments are to be submitted via our Learning Management System (OWL). No paper copies. Unless otherwise specified, please submit as a PDF.

Work Submission and Feedback

Apart from extenuating circumstances, I generally have a one or two week turnaround policy, which means I will have your work graded with feedback ready online. Pending my workload, you may receive feedback much sooner. If you submit sooner, you may also receive feedback sooner, which may be a great way of decreasing academic stress toward the end of the semester.

NOTES FROM THE FIMS DEAN'S OFFICE

Winter 2020

Rights and Responsibilities

The conditions governing a student's ability to pursue their undergraduate education at Western are ratified by Senate and can be found on the Academic Policies section of the University Secretariat:

http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/academic pol icies/rights responsibilities.html

Statement on Academic Offences

Scholastic offences are taken seriously and students are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, at the following Web site: http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic policies/appeals/scholastic discipline und ergrad.pdf

Plagiarism

Students must write their essays and assignments in their own words. Whenever students take an idea, or a passage from another author, they must acknowledge their debt both by using quotation marks where appropriate and by proper referencing such as footnotes or citations. Plagiarism is a major academic offence.All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism detection software Turnitin under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted for such checking will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system.

Accommodation Policies

Students with disabilities work with Accessible Education (formerly SSD) which provides recommendations for accommodation based on medical documentation or psychological and cognitive testing. The accommodation policy can be found here: Academic Accommodation for Students with Disabilities

Academic Consideration for Student Absence

Students will have up to two (2) opportunities during the regular academic year to use an on-line portal to self-report an absence during the

semester, provided the following conditions are met: the absence is no more than 48 hours in duration, and the assessment for which consideration is being sought is worth 30% or less of the student's final grade. Students are expected to contact their instructors within 24 hours of the end of the period of the self-reported absence, unless noted on the syllabus. Students are not able to use the self-reporting option in the following circumstances:

- during exam periods,
- absence of a duration greater than 48 hours.
- assessments worth more than 30% of the student's final grade,
- if a student has already used the self-reporting portal twice during the academic year

If the conditions for a Self-Reported Absence are NOT met, students will need to provide a Student Medical Certificate, if the absence is medical, or provide appropriate documentation if there are compassionate grounds for the absence in question. Students are encouraged to contact the FIMS Undergraduate Student Services Office to obtain more information about the relevant documentation.

Students should also note that individual instructors are not permitted to receive documentation directly from a student, whether in support of an application for consideration on medical grounds, or for other reasons. All documentation required for absences that are not covered by the Self-Reported Absence Policy must be submitted to the Academic Counselling office of a student's home Faculty.

For Western University policy on Consideration for Student Absence, see Policy on Academic Consideration For Student Absences - Undergraduate Students in First **Entry Programs**

and for the Student Medical Certificate (SMC),

http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic policies/appeals/medicalform.pdf

Religious Accommodation

Students should consult the University's list of recognized religious holidays, and should give reasonable notice in writing, prior to the holiday, to the Instructor and an Academic Counsellor if their course requirements will be affected by a religious observance. Additional information is given in the Western Academic Calendar.

Grading at FIMS

- Normally, first year courses required for entry into an MIT or MPI module (MIT 1020E and MIT 1025F/G) are expected to have a course average between 68-72%.
- Normally, second year required courses (MIT 2000, 2100, 2200, 2500) are expected to have a course average between 70 and 75%.
- Normally, third year required courses (MIT 3000, 3100) are expected to have a course average between 72 and 77%.

Elective courses and 4th year seminars have no recommended course averages.

Support Services

Students who are in emotional/mental distress should refer to Mental Health@Western for a complete list of options about how to obtain help. http://www.uwo.ca/uwocom/mentalhealth/

SUPPORT SERVICES - LINKS

Office of the Registrar: www.registrar.uwo.ca Student Development Centre: www.sdc.uwo.ca Psychological Services: www.sdc.uwo.ca/psych Services for Students with Disabilities: www.sdc.uwo.ca/ssd

Accessibility Information:

www.accessibility.uwo.ca / Writing Support

Centre: www.sdc.uwo.ca/writing Learning Skills Services: www.sdc.uwo.ca/learnin

Indigenous Services: http://indigenous.uwo.c

International and Exchange Student Centre:

www.sdc.uwo.ca/int Career Centre at Western:

www.success.uwo.ca/careers/

Appendix A: Suggested Grade Ranges in MIT, MPI and MTP

Guidelines to the MIT Grade Range

These guidelines are benchmarks, and are not to be followed as rigid regulations. They will be adjusted as appropriate to take into account the level of the course and any specific instructions given by a professor. As well, competency in English language usage (including spelling and grammar) may be taken into account in the assignment of grades by individual instructors. Note that the 70-79 grade range is broken into two divisions, as this is the grade range into which a large number of students fall

90-100 (Outstanding, A+)

The report shows sparkling originality and exhibits a high degree of critical analysis of the topic. Sophisticated synthesis and analysis of the theoretical and conceptual dimensions of the topic are demonstrated. Mastery of complex material and ideas is immediately evident. The topic is treated with sensitivity and subtlety of thought. The quality of the writing and background research is exemplary.

80-89 (Excellent, A)

The report shows originality and exhibits a high degree of critical analysis of the topic; it gets to the heart of the matter with comments and/or questions. It is clearly focused and logically organized. The quality of writing makes the report immediately understandable. Mastery of complex material and ideas is demonstrated. The report is of appropriate length, while preserving the priorities and emphasis of the material, so that the result is meaningful, not simplistic.

75-79 (Very Good, B+)

The report shows above average analysis, critical thinking and independent thought. Claims are supported by ample evidence and the components of the topic are well-researched and presented. The topic is addressed in reasonable depth and/or breadth and covers material appropriate to the course. The analysis is organized around focal points and the argument is easily followed. The report demonstrates an above average ability to write in an intelligible style and to condense material meaningfully and with a concern for priorities of that material.

70-74 (Good, B)

The report shows an attempt at analysis and critical thinking. Claims are supported by reasonable evidence. The topic is addressed in some depth and/or breadth, with references to the appropriate literature and course material. The analysis is organized around focal points. The report is generally well written and well argued.

60-69 (Competent, C)

The report demonstrates adequate comprehension of the topic. The report is on topic and is a reasonable summary of material covered in the course, but goes no further. Facts are stated accurately; the quality of writing is sufficiently intelligible with enough elaboration and enough connections made between ideas to permit a reader to understand the point of the report.

50-59 (Marginal, D)

The report shows less than adequate comprehension of the topic and of the material covered by the course. The report is a less than adequate summary of sources and/or is considerably off-topic. Facts are stated inaccurately or ambiguously; the writing style is difficult to follow; there is insufficient elaboration to permit reader's comprehension of relations among ideas; little judgment is shown in selecting detail for inclusion in the report.

Below 50 (Unacceptable, F)

The report demonstrates a failure to comprehend the topic. The material is disorganized and unintelligible. The report clearly does not meet the minimal requirements of the assignment.

Appendix B: Guidelines of Academic Appeals for FIMS Students

Grounds for Appeal:

The Faculty of Information and Media Studies does not view the appeals process as an opportunity for students to solicit a second opinion on a grade assigned to a particular piece of work. Appeals must pertain to the final grade in a course, and will only be entertained if sufficient grounds for appeal can be met, including: medical or compassionate circumstances, a defect in the evaluation process, bias, inaccuracy or unfairness.

Stages in the Appeals Process:

The first stage of the process is a discussion of the disputed grade with the appropriate Teaching Assistant (if applicable), and subsequently, the course Instructor. For grades assigned to individual assignments, essays, lab reports, projects and tests completed throughout the term, the student first must appeal to the Teaching Assistant or Instructor of the course, within three weeks of the date on which the Instructor or Teaching Assistant returned the assignments to the class. The Appeals Committee will not hear any further appeals about the final grade in any course unless this first step has been taken.

If completion of the first stage has not resolved the matter, the student may appeal the final grade in the course to the FIMS Appeals Committee. Appeals of final grades must be within the time frame indicated in the Undergraduate Calendar. It is the student's responsibility to ensure that the appeal is submitted within the deadline. The student shall submit a formal letter to the FIMS Appeals Committee outlining the grounds for the appeal, the remedy sought and relevant materials including the information about when and with whom (Teaching Assistant and/or Instructor) the student met, as described in Stage 1. If the appeal involves a request for work to be regraded, the original marked work and a clean copy (if possible) must be included. If the appeal is commenced once the deadline has passed, it will not be considered either by the Appeals Committee or by the Associate Dean.

The FIMS Appeals Committee has the discretion to determine whether the grounds for appeal have been met.

If the Committee deems that the reasons for the appeal are not legitimate, the Associate Dean will be informed. The appeal will be terminated and the student will be informed.

If the Committee decides that the grounds for appeal have been met, the following steps will be taken:

1. the course Instructor will be shown the appeal letter and offered an opportunity to make a written response;

2..if work is to be regraded, a reader will be appointed who is competent in the area in question and was not involved in the assignment of the original mark. The reader will consider the work in question and will arrive at an independent evaluation. If there is a large discrepancy between the original mark and the regraded mark, a second reader may be appointed by the Committee. If the appointed reader(s) arrive at a grade within five marks of the original, the original grade will stand.

The FIMS Appeals Committee will review the evidence and will make a recommendation on the case to the Associate Dean Undergraduate.

The Associate Dean Undergraduate will consider the recommendation from the Appeals Committee, and will make a decision. The student and the instructor will be notified promptly and in writing by the Associate Dean of the decision and of the change in grade, if any. Within the Faculty of Information and Media Studies, the Associate Dean's decision on the matter is final.

Further appeals are possible under certain circumstances to the Senate Review Board Academic (for Undergraduate students) or to the Faculty of Graduate Studies (for Graduate students) but the student should carefully consult the guidelines regarding such Appeals.